## Portchester

As this land lies outside the defined urban edge on the Proposals Map, if it is not included in DM24 it is, by definition, in the countryside and should be shown as such on the Proposals Map. The Council accepted this part of my submission at the Hearing session.

However, I further argued that the land should be included in the local gap because all the land around it was included in the gap.

I requested the Inspector to recommend accordingly.

The land is as delineated on the Proposals Map South – DM24 and I have hatched it in red on the enclosed plan.

Further, this land is identified as being part of Area 24 – see Map on page 32 of ENV002 – Countryside Gaps doc and described at page 28 of ENV002.

**Secondly**, I requested at the Hearing session that the Inspector recommend that the land east of Brook Lane, Botley, deleted by the Council from the local gap be reinstated in the local gap. The reference here is Area 25 of the Map on page 32 of ENV002 and described on page 28. The land is shown hatched in red on the enclosed plan, as accurately as can be interpreted from the Map at page 32 of ENV002.

**Thirdly,** I requested at the Hearing session that the Inspector recommend that land east of allocated land Policy BO1 (Maddoxford Lane, Botley) be included in the local gap. The extent of that land is hatched red on the enclosed plan. I explained at the Hearing that this was to avoid further urban sprawl ('Vision' of the Plan paragraph 3.1).

None of the above constitutes 'new' evidence and was all referred to as summarised above, during the Hearing session.

This letter and the enclosed plan are submitted directly in response to the Inspector's request made to me at the Hearing session.

Please forward this letter and the plans to the Inspector.

An identical copy is being sent direct to the Council.

Yours sincerely,

## W A CHARLES DIP TP MRTPI MCMI

ENC:

Cc: Botley Parish Council.